

Subfactors of index exactly 5

Masaki Izumi¹, Scott Morrison², David Penneys³, Emily Peters⁴, and Noah Snyder⁵

¹*Kyoto University*

²*Mathematical Sciences Institute, Australian National University*

³*University of Toronto*

⁴*Loyola University Chicago*

⁵*Indiana University*

June 11, 2014

Abstract

We give the classification of subfactor planar algebras at index exactly 5. All the examples arise as standard invariants of subgroup subfactors. Some of the requisite uniqueness results come from work of Izumi in preparation. The non-existence results build upon the classification of subfactor planar algebras with index less than 5, with some additional analysis of special cases.

1 Introduction

The goal of this article is to extend our prior classification of subfactors of index strictly less than 5 [MS12, MPPS12, IJMS12, PT12] to include subfactors of index 5. Recall that any finite index subfactor $N \subset M$ has a ‘standard invariant’ which plays the role of a Galois group. For an arbitrary factor M it may be difficult to answer the “inverse Galois problem” of determining which standard invariants are realized and in how many ways, but by deep results of Ocneanu and Popa [Ocn88, Pop90, Pop94], when M is the hyperfinite II_1 factor and the standard invariant is finite depth or amenable, the standard invariant is a complete invariant. In this article we classify all subfactor standard invariants with index exactly 5.

Theorem 1.1. *Besides subfactors with standard invariant Temperley-Lieb (and principal graph A_∞) and the non-extremal perturbation of the $A_\infty^{(1)}$ subfactor, there are seven subfactor standard invariants at index exactly 5. There are five subgroup subfactors*

$$\begin{array}{ll}
 1 \subset \mathbb{Z}/5\mathbb{Z} & \left(\begin{array}{c} \text{Diagram 1} \end{array} \right) \\
 \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} \subset D_{10} & \left(\begin{array}{c} \text{Diagram 2} \end{array} \right) \\
 \mathbb{Z}/4\mathbb{Z} \subset \mathbb{Z}/5\mathbb{Z} \rtimes \text{Aut}(\mathbb{Z}/5\mathbb{Z}) & \left(\begin{array}{c} \text{Diagram 3} \end{array} \right) \\
 A_4 \subset A_5 & \left(\begin{array}{c} \text{Diagram 4} \end{array} \right) \\
 S_4 \subset S_5 & \left(\begin{array}{c} \text{Diagram 5} \end{array} \right)
 \end{array}$$

along with the (non-isomorphic) duals of $A_4 \subset A_5$ and $S_4 \subset S_5$.

This argument follows a similar outline as previous small index classification theorems as pioneered by Jones and Ocneanu for subfactors of index less than 4 [Jon83, Jon86, Ocn88, GdlHJ89]. That classification begins by enumerating all possible principal graphs of index less than 4 (the ADE Coxeter-Dynkin diagrams). Then for the diagrams which don't come from subfactors (D_{odd} and E_7), one finds obstructions that prove that no such subfactor can exist [Ocn88]. Finally, for the remaining diagrams, one constructs associated subfactors and proves a uniqueness result [Jon83, Ocn88, GdlHJ89, BN91, Izu91, Izu94, Kaw95].

Above index 4, we cannot separate the enumeration and obstruction steps. As developed by Haagerup [Haa94], one can use certain kinds of obstructions (Ocneanu's triple point obstruction and associativity) during the enumeration step to again get a manageable list. Haagerup's list was used to give a complete classification up to index $3 + \sqrt{3}$ in [Haa94, Bis98, AH99, AY09, BMPS12]. Haagerup's enumeration technique was further improved and automated in [MS12] to give a new list up to (but not including) index 5, and in [MPPS12, IJMS12, PT12] we turned this into a complete classification. Throughout this article, we assume familiarity with the techniques of these earlier classification articles, and we recommend [JMS14] for a general overview.

In the present article, the existence results are immediate, since all the principal graphs in the main theorem are realized by subgroup subfactors. Uniqueness of each of these realizations is proved in Section 2 using a mix of connection techniques and techniques from [Izu]. The initial enumeration of candidate principal graphs is essentially identical to [MS12] as explained in the beginning of Section 3. In the rest of Section 3, we eliminate the remaining candidate graph pairs. In addition to the techniques of the classification below index 5 (as improved in [BP14, Pen13]), we use results of Izumi on 2^n and $3^n 1$ star graphs [Izu] and a result of Schou describing which 4-star graphs Γ have no connections on (Γ, Γ) [Sch90].

Acknowledgements

Scott Morrison would like to thank the Erwin Schrödinger Institute and its 2014 programme on “Modern Trends in Topological Quantum Field Theory” for their hospitality. All the authors would like to thank the Banff International Research Station for hosting the 2014 workshop on Subfactors and Fusion Categories.

Masaki Izumi was supported by JSPS, the Grant-in-Aid for Scientific Research (B) 22340032. Scott Morrison was supported by an Australian Research Council Discovery Early Career Researcher Award, DE120100232 and Discovery Project ‘Subfactors and symmetries’ DP140100732. David Penneys was supported in part by the Natural Sciences and Engineering Research Council of Canada. The last 4 authors were supported by DOD-DARPA grant HR0011-12-1-0009.

2 Uniqueness of examples at index 5

2.1 Izumi's Goldman-type theorems

We begin with two lemmas due to Izumi which will appear in [Izu] which completely classify subfactors with principal graphs 2^n and $3^n 1$.

Theorem 2.1. *If one of the principal graphs of a subfactor $A \subset B$ is the 2^n spoke graph*



then $n = q - 1$ with q a prime power, and $A \subset B$ is the subgroup subfactor for $\mathbb{F}_q^\times \subset \mathbb{F}_q \rtimes \mathbb{F}_q^\times$, where \mathbb{F}_q is a finite field of order q .

Remark. The action of $\mathbb{F}_q \rtimes \mathbb{F}_q^\times$ on \mathbb{F}_q as an affine transformation group is a typical example of a sharply 2-transitive permutation group action. Izumi's article [Izu] will contain a Goldman-type result for general sharply 2-transitive permutation group actions.

For $3^n 1$, the subfactor is not self-dual and we need a more precise statement.

Theorem 2.2. *If the graph between the $A - A$ and $B - A$ bimodules is the $3^n 1$ spoke graph*



then $n = q - 1$ with a prime power q , and the subfactor is the subgroup subfactor for $F_q \rtimes F_q^\times \subset PGL(2, q)$.

Remark. Note that $A_4 \subset A_5$ is the case of $q = 4$. $PGL(2, q)$ acting on the projective geometry $PG_2(q)$ is a typical example of a sharply 3-transitive permutation group action. Izumi's article [Izu] will contain a Goldman-type result for general sharply 3-transitive permutation group actions.

2.2 Examples at index 5

We now give arguments for uniqueness for the examples at index 5.

Proposition 2.3. *These 2 principal graphs are realised by unique subfactors, namely the subgroup subfactors from Theorem 1.1.*

$$\begin{aligned} 1 \subset \mathbb{Z}/5\mathbb{Z} & \quad \left(\begin{array}{c} \text{Graph 1} \\ \text{Graph 2} \end{array} \right) \\ \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} \subset D_{10} & \quad \left(\begin{array}{c} \text{Graph 3} \\ \text{Graph 4} \end{array} \right) \end{aligned}$$

Proof. This follows from Izumi's result in [Izu97]; we additionally mention alternate proofs. The first is depth 2 and simply laced, so it must come from a group subfactor [PP86, Kos89, PP91]. The second is the graph called D_5/\mathbb{Z}_2 in [HS96], which shows it must come from the claimed subgroup subfactor. We also remark that the second is uniquely realized via the classification of singly generated subfactor planar algebras with $\dim(\mathcal{P}_{3,\pm}) \leq 13$ [BJ03]. \square

Proposition 2.4. *These 2 principal graphs are realised by unique subfactors, namely the subgroup subfactors from Theorem 1.1.*

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{Z}/4\mathbb{Z} \subset \mathbb{Z}/5\mathbb{Z} \rtimes \text{Aut}(\mathbb{Z}/5\mathbb{Z}) & \quad \left(\begin{array}{c} \text{Graph 5} \\ \text{Graph 6} \end{array} \right) \\ A_4 \subset A_5 & \quad \left(\begin{array}{c} \text{Graph 7} \\ \text{Graph 8} \end{array} \right) \end{aligned}$$

Proof. The first is as claimed by Theorem 2.1, and the second is as claimed by Theorem 2.2. \square

Remark. It is possible to lift the shading on the 2222 principal graph pair to get a $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ -graded unitary fusion category. One can show this as follows.

There is a 1-parameter family of bi-unitary connections on the 2222 principal graph pair up to gauge equivalence and graph automorphism. Each such connection is equivalent to one where the diagrammatic branch matrix [IJMS12, Section 5.2] is given by

$$U = \frac{1}{4} \begin{pmatrix} -1 & \sqrt{5} & \sqrt{5} & \sqrt{5} \\ \sqrt{5} & -\sqrt{5}\alpha & \eta\alpha & \sqrt{5}\eta \\ \sqrt{5} & 1 & \sqrt{5}\eta & -\sqrt{5}\eta \\ \sqrt{5} & \sqrt{5}\alpha & -\sqrt{5}\alpha & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

In [MS12] we used a special argument in Section 5 to eliminate all 1-supertransitive subfactors with index strictly less than 5. That argument breaks at index 5, but will be replaced with a stronger argument below in Proposition 3.2.

Thus the proof of [MS12, Lemma 6.2] proves that all principal graphs of 2-supertransitive subfactors with index at most 5 are translated extensions of one of the weeds in \mathcal{W}_1 (which is defined in that lemma). Note [MS12, Lemma 6.2] is already stated as a classification statement for index at most 5, and [MS12, Lemma 6.4] is not concerned with indices.

Note, now, the remark at the end of [MS12, Section 6.2]. There we discarded 3 weeds with index exactly 5, which we now have to take into account, as the first three exceptions listed in the statement above.

Now [MS12, Sections 6.2.1-6.2.3] already allowed for the index being exactly 5. However, we have to be a little careful as in [MS12, Sections 6.2.2] the second graph in $\mathcal{V}_{11,c}$ had index 5, and similarly in [MS12, Sections 6.2.3] the third last graph in \mathcal{V}_{10} has index 5. As these were not carried over to the collection \mathcal{V}_∞ of vines in [MS12, Theorem 6.1], we need to include them as the fourth and fifth exceptions listed above.

At this point all principal graphs which have supertransitivity greater than 1 and start with a triple point have been accounted for. We now begin reading [MS12, Section 6.3].

Note [MS12, Theorems 6.6-6.9] already allow for the index being exactly 5; however, in each case there are some vines which we list above. Reading the proof of [MS12, Theorem 6.10], we see that it does not rule out an index 5 principal graph coming from the weed Γ_{4621} . Finally, [MS12, Theorem 6.11] already allows for the index being exactly 5, and results in no extra cases. \square

Our task is to whittle down the possibilities arising in Proposition 3.1, until all that remains are the graphs from Theorem 1.1. We start with the 1-supertransitive possibilities, and we then consider the vines \mathcal{V}_∞ , the weeds \mathcal{W}_∞ , and the additional cylinder.

Proposition 3.2. *The only possible principal graphs for 1-supertransitive subfactors with index exactly 5 are:*

$$\begin{aligned} 1 \subset \mathbb{Z}/5\mathbb{Z} & \quad \left(\begin{array}{c} \bullet \longrightarrow \begin{array}{c} \nearrow \searrow \nearrow \searrow \nearrow \searrow \end{array} \end{array} , \begin{array}{c} \bullet \longrightarrow \begin{array}{c} \nearrow \searrow \nearrow \searrow \end{array} \end{array} \right) \\ \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} \subset D_{10} & \quad \left(\begin{array}{c} \bullet \longrightarrow \begin{array}{c} \nearrow \searrow \end{array} \longrightarrow \bullet \end{array} , \begin{array}{c} \bullet \longrightarrow \begin{array}{c} \nearrow \searrow \end{array} \longrightarrow \bullet \end{array} \right) \end{aligned}$$

Proof. By [MS12, Theorem 5.1], either all the vertices at depth 2 have dimension 1, and thus the graph is the depth 2 graph corresponding to $1 \subset \mathbb{Z}/5\mathbb{Z}$, or there are 2 vertices at depth 2 with dimension 2. In the latter case, each vertex must connect to a vertex of dimension $\sqrt{5}$. If these vertices are distinct, then the graph has annular multiplicities $\ast 10$, and thus the dual graph has a univalent vertex at depth 1 [Jon12]. This vertex gives a normalizer which yields an intermediate subfactor, a contradiction, since the index is not composite. Hence both vertices at depth 2 connect to a single vertex at depth 3 with dimension $\sqrt{5}$, which must connect to a single vertex at depth 4 of dimension 1. In this case, we must have $\dim(\mathcal{P}_{3,\pm}) = 13$, which were completely classified by [BJ03]. There is a unique subfactor planar algebra with this principal graph, with the dual data given above. \square

Following [CMS11], the index of a finite depth subfactor is a cyclotomic integer [dBG91, CG94, ENO05], which reduces each vine to finitely many possible principal graphs.

Proposition 3.3 ([PT12, Section 3.3]). *The only possibilities at index 5 coming from the vines in \mathcal{V}_∞ are Γ_{5521} and*

$$G_8 = A_4 \subset A_5 = \left(\begin{array}{c} \bullet \longrightarrow \begin{array}{c} \nearrow \searrow \nearrow \searrow \end{array} \longrightarrow \bullet \end{array} , \begin{array}{c} \bullet \longrightarrow \begin{array}{c} \nearrow \searrow \end{array} \longrightarrow \bullet \end{array} \right).$$

Proposition 3.4. *The weeds in \mathcal{W}_∞ have already been ruled out, at all indices, in [MPPS12, IJMS12]. Recall that the only subfactors represented by these weeds are the GHJ 3311 subfactors at index $3 + \sqrt{3}$.*

Recall that a 4-star is a simply laced graph with a single vertex of valence 4 and all other vertices having valence at most 2. We use the notation $S(a, b, c, d)$ for a 4-star with arms having a, b, c, d edges respectively emanating from the 4-valent vertex. If a 4-star is the principal graph of a subfactor, then the \star 'd vertex must be on the longest arm, e.g., see [LMP13, Proposition 1.17].

Theorem 3.5 ([Sch90, p. 41]). *Below is a complete list of 4-stars $\Gamma = S(a, b, c, d)$ for which the pair (Γ, Γ) has a biunitary connection, which is a necessary condition for the existence of a subfactor with principal graphs (Γ, Γ) .*

- $S(j, j, k, k)$ for $1 \leq j \leq k$
- $S(j, j+1, j+1, j+m)$ for $1 \leq j$ and $1 \leq m \leq 3$
- $S(1, 2, 2, 5)$
- $S(j, j+1, j+2, j+m)$ for $1 \leq j$ and $2 \leq m \leq 4$
- $S(j, j+2, j+2, j+2)$ for $1 \leq j$

Proposition 3.6. *There are no subfactors which are translated extensions of the cylinder Γ_{4621} . Moreover, Γ_{5521} is not the principal graphs of a subfactor.*

Proof. The graph Γ_{5521} and all stable translated extensions of Γ_{4621} are 4-stars of the form $S(a, b, 2, 1)$ where $a, b > 2$. By Schou's thesis, the only such 4-stars Γ with bi-unitary connections on (Γ, Γ) are of the form $S(j, j+1, j+2, j+m)$ for $j = 1$ and $2 \leq m \leq 4$, yielding $S(1, 2, 3, n)$ for $3 \leq n \leq 5$. \square

3.3 Eliminating non-principal graphs

In this subsection, we eliminate all graphs from Proposition 3.1 which do not appear in Theorem 1.1. That is, we must eliminate all the G_i 's except G_5, G_8, G_{13} .

Lemma 3.7. *The graphs G_{12} , are not the principal graphs of a subfactor.*

Proof. This follows from Theorem 2.1. \square

Lemma 3.8. *The graphs G_1, G_2 and G_3 are not principal graphs of subfactors.*

Proof. In each graph, there is a dual pair of depth 4 objects with differing dimensions (1 and 2). \square

Lemma 3.9. *The graphs G_4, G_{14} and G_{15} are not the principal graph of a subfactor.*

Proof. There are vertices with dimensions less than 1. \square

Lemma 3.10. *The graphs G_7 and G_{10} are not principal graphs of subfactors.*

Proof. Each graph has three vertices of dimension 1. These must form a group under tensor product, but each is self-dual, and there is no group of order 3 with all elements involutions. \square

Lemma 3.11. *The graphs G_9 and G_{11} are not the principal graphs of subfactors.*

Proof. This follows immediately from [Pen13, Corollary 4.7]. \square

Proposition 3.12. *The graph G_6 is not the principal graphs of a subfactor since it does not have a connection.*

Proof. This follows from [IJMS12, Lemma 3.1]. A contradiction follows from the fact that the dimension of the vertex at depth 2 is not equal to the dimension of the non-univalent vertex at depth 4. \square

Remark. G_7, G_{11} are also eliminated by Theorem 2.2.

This concludes the classification of subfactors with index exactly 5. We note that all the examples appearing are subgroup subfactors. At the next integer index, 6, much more complicated phenomena arise because 6 is a composite index. In particular, we have many Bisch-Haagerup subfactors. For any normal subgroup $G \triangleleft \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} * \mathbb{Z}/3\mathbb{Z}$ we have an outer action of the quotient group on the hyperfinite R , and the subfactor $R^{\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}} \subset R \rtimes \mathbb{Z}/3\mathbb{Z}$. However, putting aside the composite subfactors at index 6, it remains a possibility that every other example is in fact a subgroup subfactor. This would be initial evidence towards a subfactor analogue of the conjecture that weakly integral fusion categories are weakly group theoretical.

References

- [AH99] Marta Asaeda and Uffe Haagerup, Exotic subfactors of finite depth with Jones indices $(5 + \sqrt{13})/2$ and $(5 + \sqrt{17})/2$, Comm. Math. Phys. **202** (1999), no. 1, 1–63, [arXiv:math.OA/9803044](#) [MR1686551](#) [DOI:10.1007/s002200050574](#). MR MR1686551 (2000c:46120)
- [AY09] Marta Asaeda and Seidai Yasuda, On Haagerup’s list of potential principal graphs of subfactors, Comm. Math. Phys. **286** (2009), no. 3, 1141–1157, [arXiv:0711.4144](#) [MR2472028](#) [DOI:10.1007/s00220-008-0588-0](#). MR MR2472028
- [Bis98] Dietmar Bisch, Principal graphs of subfactors with small Jones index, Math. Ann. **311** (1998), no. 2, 223–231, [MR1625762](#) [DOI:10.1007/s002080050185](#). MR MR1625762 (2000k:46087)
- [BJ03] Dietmar Bisch and Vaughan Jones, Singly generated planar algebras of small dimension. II, Adv. Math. **175** (2003), no. 2, 297–318, [MR1972635](#) [DOI:10.1016/S0001-8708\(02\)00060-9](#). MR 1972635 (2004d:46073)
- [BMPS12] Stephen Bigelow, Scott Morrison, Emily Peters, and Noah Snyder, Constructing the extended Haagerup planar algebra, Acta Math. **209** (2012), no. 1, 29–82, [arXiv:0909.4099](#) [MR2979509](#) [DOI:10.1007/s11511-012-0081-7](#).
- [BN91] Jocelyne Bion-Nadal, An example of a subfactor of the hyperfinite II_1 factor whose principal graph invariant is the Coxeter graph E_6 , Current topics in operator algebras (Nara, 1990), World Sci. Publ., River Edge, NJ, 1991, [MR1193933](#), pp. 104–113. MR MR1193933 (94a:46085)
- [BP14] Stephen Bigelow and David Penneys, Principal graph stability and the jellyfish algorithm, Math. Ann. **358** (2014), no. 1-2, 1–24, [MR3157990](#) [DOI:10.1007/s00208-013-0941-2](#) [arXiv:1208.1564](#). MR 3157990
- [CG94] Antoine Coste and Terry Gannon, Remarks on Galois symmetry in rational conformal field theories, Phys. Lett. B **323** (1994), no. 3-4, 316–321, [MR1266785](#) [DOI:10.1016/0370-2693\(94\)91226-2](#) MR MR1266785 (95h:81031)
- [CMS11] Frank Calegari, Scott Morrison, and Noah Snyder, Cyclotomic integers, fusion categories, and subfactors, Comm. Math. Phys. **303** (2011), no. 3, 845–896, [MR2786219](#) [DOI:10.1007/s00220-010-1136-2](#) [arXiv:1004.0665](#). MR 2786219 (2012e:18013)
- [dBG91] Jan de Boer and Jacob Goeree, Markov traces and II_1 factors in conformal field theory, Comm. Math. Phys. **139** (1991), no. 2, 267–304, [MR1120140](#) [euclid.cmp/1104203304](#). MR MR1120140 (93i:81211)
- [ENO05] Pavel Etingof, Dmitri Nikshych, and Viktor Ostrik, On fusion categories, Ann. of Math. (2) **162** (2005), no. 2, 581–642, [arXiv:math.QA/0203060](#) [MR2183279](#) [DOI:10.4007/annals.2005.162.581](#). MR MR2183279 (2006m:16051)
- [GdlHJ89] Frederick M. Goodman, Pierre de la Harpe, and Vaughan F. R. Jones, Coxeter graphs and towers of algebras, Mathematical Sciences Research Institute Publications, vol. 14, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1989, [MR999799](#). MR MR999799 (91c:46082)
- [Haa94] Uffe Haagerup, Principal graphs of subfactors in the index range $4 < [M : N] < 3 + \sqrt{2}$, Subfactors (Kyuzeso, 1993), World Sci. Publ., River Edge, NJ, 1994, [MR1317352](#), pp. 1–38. MR MR1317352 (96d:46081)
- [HS96] Jeong Hee Hong and Wojciech Szymański, On finite subfactors with principal graph D_{2n+1}/\mathbb{Z}_2 , J. Funct. Anal. **141** (1996), no. 2, 294–300, [MR1418507](#) [DOI:10.1006/jfan.1996.0129](#). MR 1418507 (97h:46102)
- [IJMS12] Masaki Izumi, Vaughan F. R. Jones, Scott Morrison, and Noah Snyder, Subfactors of index less than 5, Part 3: Quadruple points, Comm. Math. Phys. **316** (2012), no. 2, 531–554, [arXiv:1109.3190](#) [MR2993924](#) [DOI:10.1007/s00220-012-1472-5](#). MR 2993924
- [Izu] Masaki Izumi, Goldman-type theorems for sharply transitive permutation groups, In preparation.

- [Izu91] Masaki Izumi, Application of fusion rules to classification of subfactors, Publ. Res. Inst. Math. Sci. **27** (1991), no. 6, 953–994, [MR1145672 DOI:10.2977/prims/1195169007](#). MR MR1145672 (93b:46121)
- [Izu94] ———, On flatness of the Coxeter graph E_8 , Pacific J. Math. **166** (1994), no. 2, 305–327, [MR1313457 euclid.pjm/1102621140](#). MR MR1313457 (96a:46112)
- [Izu97] ———, Goldman’s type theorems in index theory, Operator algebras and quantum field theory (Rome, 1996), Int. Press, Cambridge, MA, 1997, [MR1491121](#), pp. 249–269. MR MR1491121 (2000j:46121)
- [JMS14] Vaughan F. R. Jones, Scott Morrison, and Noah Snyder, The classification of subfactors of index at most 5, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. (N.S.) **51** (2014), no. 2, 277–327, [MR3166042 arXiv:1304.6141 DOI:10.1090/S0273-0979-2013-01442-3](#). MR 3166042
- [Jon83] Vaughan F. R. Jones, Index for subfactors, Invent. Math. **72** (1983), no. 1, 1–25, [MR696688 DOI:10.1007/BF01389127](#). MR 696688 (84d:46097)
- [Jon86] Vaughan F. R. Jones, Subfactors of II_1 factors and related topics, Proceedings of the International Congress of Mathematicians (Berkeley), 1986, pp. 939–947.
- [Jon12] ———, Quadratic tangles in planar algebras, Duke Math. J. **161** (2012), no. 12, 2257–2295, [MR2972458 arXiv:1007.1158 DOI:10.1215/00127094-1723608](#). MR 2972458
- [Kaw95] Yasuyuki Kawahigashi, On flatness of Ocneanu’s connections on the Dynkin diagrams and classification of subfactors, J. Funct. Anal. **127** (1995), no. 1, 63–107, [MR1308617 DOI:10.1006/jfan.1995.1003](#). MR MR1308617 (95j:46075)
- [Kos89] Hideki Kosaki, Characterization of crossed product (properly infinite case), Pacific J. Math. **137** (1989), no. 1, 159–167, [MR983334](#). MR 983334 (90k:46139)
- [LMP13] Zhengwei Liu, Scott Morrison, and David Penneys, 1-supertransitive subfactors with index at most $6\frac{1}{2}$, 2013, [arXiv:1310.8566](#), to appear Comm. Math. Phys.
- [MP12] Scott Morrison and David Penneys, Constructing spoke subfactors using the jellyfish algorithm, 2012, [arXiv:1208.3637](#), to appear in Transactions of the American Mathematical Society.
- [MPPS12] Scott Morrison, David Penneys, Emily Peters, and Noah Snyder, Subfactors of index less than 5, Part 2: Triple points, Internat. J. Math. **23** (2012), no. 3, 1250016, 33, [MR2902285 arXiv:1007.2240 DOI:10.1142/S0129167X11007586](#). MR 2902285
- [MS12] Scott Morrison and Noah Snyder, Subfactors of index less than 5, part 1: the principal graph odometer, Communications in Mathematical Physics **312** (2012), no. 1, 1–35, [arXiv:1007.1730 MR2914056 DOI:10.1007/s00220-012-1426-y](#).
- [Ocn88] Adrian Ocneanu, Quantized groups, string algebras and Galois theory for algebras, Operator algebras and applications, Vol. 2, London Math. Soc. Lecture Note Ser., vol. 136, Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 1988, [MR996454](#), pp. 119–172. MR MR996454 (91k:46068)
- [Pen13] David Penneys, Chirality and principal graph obstructions, 2013, [arXiv:1307.5890](#).
- [Pop90] Sorin Popa, Classification of subfactors: the reduction to commuting squares, Invent. Math. **101** (1990), no. 1, 19–43, [MR1055708 DOI:10.1007/BF01231494](#). MR MR1055708 (91h:46109)
- [Pop94] ———, Classification of amenable subfactors of type II, Acta Math. **172** (1994), no. 2, 163–255, [MR1278111 DOI:10.1007/BF02392646](#). MR MR1278111 (95f:46105)
- [Pop95] ———, An axiomatization of the lattice of higher relative commutants of a subfactor, Invent. Math. **120** (1995), no. 3, 427–445, [MR1334479 DOI:10.1007/BF01241137](#). MR MR1334479 (96g:46051)
- [PP86] Mihai Pimsner and Sorin Popa, Entropy and index for subfactors, Ann. Sci. École Norm. Sup. (4) **19** (1986), no. 1, 57–106, [MR860811](#).
- [PP91] M. Pimsner and S. Popa, Finite-dimensional approximation of pairs of algebras and obstructions for the index, J. Funct. Anal. **98** (1991), no. 2, 270–291, [MR1111570 DOI:10.1016/0022-1236\(91\)90079-K](#). MR 1111570 (92g:46076)
- [PT12] David Penneys and James Tener, Classification of subfactors of index less than 5, part 4: vines, International Journal of Mathematics **23** (2012), no. 3, 1250017 (18 pages), [arXiv:1010.3797 MR2902286 DOI:10.1142/S0129167X11007641](#).
- [Sch90] John Schou, Commuting squares and index for subfactors, 1990, [arXiv:1304.5907](#), Ph.D. thesis at Odense Universitet.